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Introduction

SPG 201.65-1 regarding conflicts of interest and commitment requires the deans of the schools or colleges and the directors of administrative units to articulate and disseminate implementation policies that apply to faculty and/or staff within those units. The implementation policy and procedures for staff of the Institute for Social Research are provided below. This policy and its procedures apply to all full-time staff and part-time staff in the unit whether permanent or temporary. The University expects all staff to be familiar with the contents of SPG 201.65-1 and with the applicable set of unit implementation procedures.

A. Statement of Principles

The policy section of SPG 201.65-1 outlines a set of key principles relevant to conflicts of interest and conflicts of commitment, including the principles stated below.

All staff members are to act with honesty, integrity, and in the best interest of the University when performing their duties, and to abide by the highest standards of research, educational, professional, and fiscal conduct. Outside activities should not interfere with an individual’s University obligations. Staff must not use their official University positions or influence for further gain or advancement for themselves, parents, siblings, spouse or partner, children, dependent relatives, or other personal associates, at the expense of the University. In accordance with its mission, however, the University of Michigan allows and encourages staff to engage in outside activities and relationships that enhance the mission of the University. As a result, potential conflicts of interest and commitment are inevitable, but these potential conflicts are not necessarily problematic. Rather, the essential point is that faculty and staff must disclose these potential conflicts of interest so that they can be evaluated and, if necessary, managed or eliminated.

When implementing SPG 201.65-1, academic and administrative units must also consider both particular rules of conduct within the University and rules that govern outside activities applicable to the staff of the Institute for Social Research. These include:

- Regents’ Bylaw 5.13, related to governmental elective/appointed service [http://www.umich.edu/7Eregents/bylaws/bylaws05b.html#4];
- SPG 201.12, related to misconduct and discipline;
• SPG 201.23, related to hiring of relatives or those with a close personal relationship; and
• SPG 201.85, related to work performed for other University units.

The specific definitions for a potential conflict of interest and potential conflict of commitment in Section II.A of SPG 201.65-1 also apply to the procedures described below. Broadly defined, a potential conflict of interest encompasses external ties that may or may not appear to improperly bias a staff member’s judgment in performing his or her University job responsibilities. A potential conflict of commitment, broadly defined, encompasses situations in which a staff member’s external relationships or activities may or may appear to interfere or compete with the University’s mission, or with the staff member’s ability or willingness to perform his or her job responsibilities.

B. Disclosing, Evaluating, and Managing Potential Conflicts of Interest and Conflicts of Commitment

1. Disclosing potential conflicts of interest and conflicts of commitment

Whenever a potential conflict of interest or conflict of commitment exists for a staff member, he or she must promptly disclose it, in writing, to their center’s lead administrator (SPG 201.65-1, Section III.A.3.)

Examples of potential conflicts include (but are not limited to):
• Using University resources in activities that may lead to financial gain for the staff member (or his or her family or friends);
• Using the name of the University in promoting activities that may lead to financial gain for the staff member (or his or her family or friends);
• Interacting with students in external as well as internal roles. E.g., seeing students as clients or patients, employing students for non-University work.
• Performing work for other University departments or units for additional pay;
• Participating in decisions or deliberations where your own personal financial interests are or could be affected;
• Participating in decisions or deliberations where a family member is or could be affected, financially or otherwise (Note: As stated in SPG 201.65-1, family members include parents, siblings, a spouse or partner, children, and dependent relatives.);
• Performing activities for non-University entities for pay;
• Accepting gifts, entertainment, or other items of value from vendors or other third parties that do or have business with the University (also see below);
• Accepting an incentive or benefit to gain access to a staff member’s supervisor.

Gifts

A potential conflict exists when a vendor, current or potential, or other third party gives a gift to a staff member. General University policy prohibits employees from accepting any gift of substantial value from vendors or from students (Regents’ Bylaw 2.16).
2. Evaluating disclosures of potential conflicts of interest or conflicts of commitment

The center’s lead administrator shall evaluate all disclosed potential conflicts of interest or conflicts of commitment. The center’s lead administrator may require the staff member to provide additional information or documentation that may be relevant to evaluating the potential conflict of interest or conflict of commitment.

As needed, the center’s lead administrator will consult with appropriate central administrative offices (e.g., Office of the Provost and Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs, Office of Human Resources and Affirmative Action, Office of the Vice President for Research, Office of the Vice President and General Counsel). (See also Section B.4, below.) As needed, he or she will also consult with his or her supervisor.

3. Developing plans to manage potential conflicts of interest and conflicts of commitment

When the center’s lead administrator has determined that a potential conflict of interest or conflict of commitment exists that must be managed or eliminated, he or she must develop, in consultation with the employee, a recommended plan for managing the potential conflict. The center’s lead administrator will then provide the plan to the employee’s supervisor, who has authority for approving it. The supervisor will provide the employee with a copy of the approved conflict management plan and will discuss any related ambiguities or issues that arise.

4. Involving other University individuals or offices, as required

Purchasing

When a potential conflict involves a purchase of goods or services, the center’s lead administrator must also disclose the conflict to the appropriate staff person in the University’s Office of Purchasing Services, and also to the unit staff member responsible for handling unit purchases. If the center’s lead administrator determines that a conflict exists that must be managed or eliminated, he or she will consult with these individuals in developing a plan to manage the conflict.

Research

When a potential conflict involves work performed for a research project, the center’s lead administrator must inform the head of the research project. If the center’s lead administrator determines that a conflict exists that must be managed or eliminated, it is his or her responsibility to ensure, in consultation with the head of the research project, that the conflict management plan does not conflict with requirements related to the research or to research funding.
C. Administering the Policy

1. Record-Keeping and Issues of Confidentiality and Privacy

When personal financial or associated documents are provided to the center’s lead administrator, the documents shall be placed in a secure file accessible only to the center’s lead administrator and the unit supervisor. Where any other staff member has a legitimate business reason to access the documentation, then either the center’s lead administrator or the unit supervisor may authorize access to the file and provide either copies and/or information, as may be required for the stated business purpose. If the center’s lead administrator provides copies of information in the files to a staff member, he or she must also ask that staff member to maintain the same level of confidentiality for the copied information as applies to the original information or documents.

Documentation of the staff member’s disclosure and action taken shall be included within the secure file. The documentation may be as simple as identifying the disclosure and, when no further action was required, including a notation to that effect on the disclosure description.

In some circumstances, the University is required to disclose potential conflicts to people within or outside the University. For example, if a conflict exists within the context of a federally sponsored project, the University is required both to disclose the existence of that conflict (without providing identifying information) to the federal government and to indicate whether it has managed the conflict. Also, the University may be legally required to disclose information in response to requests made under the Michigan Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) http://www.umich.edu/~urel/foia.html. In addition to the people listed above, should any other individual have a legitimate educational or business reason to access the confidential records, whether in the context of a federally sponsored project, a FOIA request, or otherwise, the center’s lead administrator or the unit supervisor may authorize access to the file, provide copies, or provide oral or written summaries of the information in the file. Where possible, the individual to whom the center’s lead administrator or unit supervisor authorizes disclosure shall be required to maintain at least the same level of confidentiality as applies to the original information.

Administrators of this policy will make every reasonable effort to preserve confidentiality and protect the privacy of all parties in the course of investigating a potential conflict of interest or commitment and, as applicable, in developing a plan to manage the conflict. (See Regents’ Bylaw 14.07 Privacy and Access to Information and SPG 201.46 Personnel Records – Collection, Retention and Release.)

Any faculty or staff member who becomes aware of a unit supervisor who has provided or may have provided unwarranted access to conflict documentation or information, as defined in this policy, should inform the center’s lead administrator. To follow up, the center’s lead administrator will investigate the allegation and, where appropriate, take personnel action.
2. **Resolving Disputes**

When a staff member disputes any action or decision related to a potential conflict of interest or conflict of commitment, the staff member should first ask that the action or decision be reviewed by his or her supervisor.

If, following the above review, the staff member remains unsatisfied with the action or decision, the staff member may initiate existing University policies and procedures for handling disputes, when available.

3. **Conducting Education and Training**

Upon hiring into or transfer into the unit, every staff member shall be provided with the Institute for Social Research’s COI/COC policy.

4. **Violations**

Any violation of SPG 201.65-1 or this implementing policy may be a cause for disciplinary action. In the first instance, the employee’s supervisor shall evaluate the violation and take appropriate action, if needed, all in accordance with existing University policies and procedures. Consultation with the employee’s Human Resources representative may be appropriate. The outcome of the supervisor’s review and any actions taken shall be documented and included within the secure file maintained by the center’s lead administrator. If appropriate, all relevant documentation may also be included within the employee’s personnel file maintained as provided under SPG 201.46.

5. **Policy Review and Revision**

The center’s lead administrator shall regularly review all potential conflict disclosures and actions taken with the Director to ensure a consistent approach to potential conflicts within the unit. The Director shall similarly regularly consult and review potential conflict management issues with the applicable executive officer for the unit. If the Director determines that any of the changes he or she would like to adopt will materially change the policy, the Director will follow the procedures used to adopt the original policy [http://www.umich.edu/7Ehraa/procedures/spg201-65-1.htm](http://www.umich.edu/7Ehraa/procedures/spg201-65-1.htm). In particular, the Director will submit any materially revised policy to the Office of the Provost and Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs for further review and approval and then to the President for formal adoption. A current version of the Institute for Social Research’s policy should be on file with the Provost’s Office at all times.

D. **Other Governing Policies**

This policy implements SPG 201.65-1, *Conflicts of Interest and Conflicts of Commitment*, incorporates SPG 201.65-1 in its entirety, and includes all elements required under that SPG. Implementation of SPG 201.65-1 within the Institute for Social
Research requires compliance with other University policies and procedures, including all Regents’ Bylaws and SPGs, as well as with any relevant external rules of professional conduct and applicable law. Relevant policies, procedures, rules, and law include (but are not limited to) the following:

- Regents’ Bylaw 2.16, regarding gifts to University employees [http://www.umich.edu/~regents/bylaws/bylaws02.html#16];
- Regents’ Bylaw 5.13, regarding governmental elected or appointed service [http://www.umich.edu/~regents/bylaws/bylaws05b.html#4];
- Regents’ Bylaw 5.14, regarding leaves of absence [http://www.umich.edu/~regents/bylaws/bylaws05b.html#5];
- SPG 201.12, regarding misconduct and discipline;
- SPG 201.23, regarding appointment of individuals with close personal or external business relationships;
- SPG 201.65, regarding employment outside the University;
- SPG 201.85, regarding special stipends for work performed for other University units, the payment of honoraria, and the payment of travel expenses;
- SPG 500.01, 601.03-2, and 601.11, in particular to the extent that they address appropriate use of University resources, such as the libraries, office space, computers, secretarial and administrative support staff, and supplies;
- Office of Vice President for Research (OVPR) Policy on Conflict of Interest in Sponsored Research and Technology Transfer Agreements [http://www.research.umich.edu/policies/um/conflict_ovpr_drda_tmo.html]; and
- Michigan Compiled Laws § 15.321 et seq., regarding contracts of public employees with their employers.